

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO**

**WRIT PETITION No.17093 of 2007**

**Dated:29.08.2007**

**Between:**

Abdul Rahim, S/o.Mohd.Abdul Nayeem.

...Petitioner

and

State of Andhra Pradesh,  
Rep.by its Secretary, Revenue Department,  
Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad,  
and another.

...Respondents

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO**

**WRIT PETITION No.17093 of 2007**

**ORDER:**

The petitioner herein purchased an extent of Acs.2.00 in Survey No.20/a/a and Acs.3.00 in Survey No.20/aa/aa situated at Manne Boyinapalli Village of Jadcharla Mandal in Mahabubnagar District, from Adithe Nathaniel under registered sale deed dated 26.06.2006. On 28.04.2007 he submitted an application to the second respondent for necessary changes in the record of rights and also requesting for issuance of pattadar pass books and title deeds (PPB). The said application was made in accordance with Section 4 of the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971 (for short 'the Act'). The petitioner alleges that the second respondent did not initiate any action and in spite of visits by the petitioner, no orders are passed either issuing PPB or rejecting the claim for PPB.

This Court initially heard the matter on 13.08.2007. On that day, the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue (General-T) brought to the notice of this Court that the second respondent considered the application of the petitioner for PPB and also communicated the orders informing that PPB cannot be granted, as the petitioner is claiming Bhoodan land. Therefore, the matter was adjourned to enable the learned Assistant Government Pleader to produce a copy of the said order. Today, the learned Assistant Government Pleader has placed before this Court an endorsement bearing No.A/ROR/2007, dated 07.05.2007, issued by the second respondent addressed to the petitioner. The xerox copy also shows that it was entrusted to the Village Revenue Officer on 08.05.2007 for serving on the

petitioner. The petitioner, however, has filed an affidavit on 23.08.2007 stating that he did not receive the copy of the endorsement from the Mandal Revenue Officer. Be that as it is, a copy thereof is now handed over to the learned Counsel for the petitioner. If so advised, the petitioner may prefer an appeal before the Revenue Divisional Officer concerned under Section 5(5) of the Act. In this Writ Petition no further relief can be granted to the petitioner.

The Writ Petition, with the above observations, is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

---

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

29.08.2007

vs